

# TRANSGENIC STRATEGIES FOR ENHANCING COTTON DISEASE RESISTANCE: CURRENT STATUS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Rabeet Ahsan Khan<sup>1</sup>, Muhammad Ghayas<sup>2</sup>, Muhammad Nouman Khalid<sup>2</sup> and Ifrah Amjad<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Plant Pathology, University of Agriculture Faisalabad, Pakistan <sup>2</sup>Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, University of Agriculture Faisalabad, Pakistan

\*Corresponding author: noumankhalidpbg@gmail.com

# ABSTRACT

Cotton, a key crop in global textile production, is continuously threatened by a variety of diseases that significantly reduce yield and quality. The application of biotechnology, specifically transgenic strategies, has demonstrated substantial potential in mitigating these threats. This review provides an in-depth analysis of the role of biotechnology in managing cotton diseases, covering historical perspectives, current developments, challenges, and future prospects. Key cotton diseases are discussed alongside their impact on crop yield and quality, emphasizing the necessity for effective disease management. The evolution of transgenic strategies, from early efforts to modern techniques, is traced, highlighting important learnings and innovations. Current transgenic cotton varieties are assessed, considering field performance, public acceptance, and regulatory implications. Furthermore, methods for developing transgenic cotton, including genetic engineering techniques, genome editing tools, and RNA interference, are reviewed. Case studies showcase the successful implementation of transgenic strategies, shedding light on their practical benefits. Significant challenges associated with transgenic strategies, including technical hurdles, ethical, legal, and social issues, and environmental and biosafety concerns are analyzed. The review concludes with a forward look into future research directions, emphasizing the potential of novel resistance genes, integration with other disease management strategies, and the anticipated evolution of regulatory frameworks. While transgenic strategies offer significant promise in cotton disease management, the adoption of a balanced approach considering potential risks and benefits is recommended for their responsible application.

*Keywords*: Transgenic Cotton, Cotton Diseases, Biotechnology, Genetic Engineering, Integrated Disease Management, Regulatory Frameworks, Future Research Directions

Article History (ABR-23-140) || Received: 07 Jul 2023 || Revised: 29 Jul 2023 || Accepted: 10 Aug 20123 || Published Online: 14 Aug 2023 This is an open-access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

Cotton is one of the most significant crops globally, often dubbed as "white gold," providing the principal natural fiber for the textile industry. Beyond textiles, it also plays a pivotal role in the production of seed oil and feed for livestock. Spanning across 80 countries, cotton cultivation is not only a major source of income for millions of farmers but also contributes substantially to national economies in the developing world (Hassan et al. 2021).

Over the past years, breeders have diligently pursued enhancements in cotton using traditional breeding methods. While these techniques have addressed some cotton-related issues, challenges persist due to disease and insect infestations, leading to diminished growth and yields (Zafar et al. 2020). The emergence of genetically modified (GM) crops in the late 1990s has earned the confidence of farmers and stakeholders. Statistics indicate that the adoption and global acceptance of biotech cotton far surpass conventional cotton, both in terms of cultivation area and international adoption rates (Zafar et al. 2022a). Despite concerns about issues such as excessive herbicide use, advancements in cotton biotechnology (Zafar et al. 2020) have revolutionized weed management, promoting eco-friendliness.

Cotton plants are susceptible to fungal infections, like Verticillium and Alternaria, resulting in severe damage and yield loss. Viral attacks further exacerbate the situation, leading to substantial reductions in boll count, boll weight and seed yield (Razzaq et al. 2021a; Razzaq et al. 2021b; Zafar et al. 2022b). The development of cotton with resistance to abiotic stresses poses a significant challenge for biotechnologists and genetic engineers. Drought, a critical factor in cotton-growing regions, detrimentally affects fiber yield and lint quality (Zafar et al. 2021). Given limited land and water resources, salinity also wreaks havoc on cotton yield, causing osmotic stress and leaf aging (Zafar et al. 2022c). Consequently, alongside boosting cotton yield, breeders are increasingly focused on





enhancing plant resilience to abiotic stressors (Manan et al. 2022). Despite facing criticisms and resistance, GM crops offer substantial advantages, including sustainable and resource-efficient crop management, effective pest control, reduced reliance on conventional pesticides, and improved environmental health (Zafar et al. 2020). This comprehensive review encapsulates researchers' endeavors in the realm of GM cotton, spanning various facets, while also outlining potential avenues for future enhancements and progress in the cotton industry.

## 1.1. Brief on Cotton Cultivation and the Significance of Disease Management

Despite its global importance, cotton cultivation is fraught with numerous challenges, paramount amongst them being the susceptibility of cotton plants to a wide array of diseases caused by bacteria, viruses, fungi, and nematodes. These diseases inflict considerable damage to cotton yield and quality, impacting the economy detrimentally. The losses can be staggeringly high, sometimes even up to 100% under severe infection conditions. This underscores the need for effective disease management strategies to protect cotton crops and maintain consistent, high-quality yields (Babar et al. 2023).

## 1.2. Importance and Potential of Biotechnology in Plant Disease Management

To address these challenges, many conventional methods like chemical pesticides and crop rotation have been employed historically. However, these measures often prove insufficient and can pose environmental risks. This is where biotechnology makes a grand entrance. It offers a compelling toolbox for developing more sustainable and efficient disease management strategies. Biotechnological techniques can enable the creation of disease-resistant varieties, reducing dependency on chemical pesticides. These approaches can be more environmentally friendly, economically feasible, and contribute to the sustainability of cotton production (Bano et al. 2023).

## 1.3. Overview of Transgenic Strategies in Agriculture

A powerful tool in this biotechnological toolbox is the development of transgenic crops. Transgenic strategies, particularly the introduction of foreign genes into a plant to express traits such as disease resistance, have been gamechangers in agriculture. Since the first successful creation of a transgenic plant in the early 1980s, these techniques have evolved and been applied to many crops, including cotton. Notable examples include Bt cotton, which expresses a toxin from the bacterium Bacillus thuringiensis to provide resistance against devastating pests like the cotton bollworm (Khalid et al. 2021).

## 1.4. Purpose and Structure of the Review

This review aims to comprehensively evaluate the role of transgenic strategies in enhancing disease resistance in cotton crops, providing an up-to-date understanding of the current status and potential future directions. The review is organized into several sections, starting with a background on cotton diseases and their impact, followed by a historical perspective on transgenic strategies. We then dive into the current status of transgenic cotton varieties and elaborate on the various methods used to develop them. We discuss successful case studies and present the challenges and limitations encountered in applying these strategies. Finally, we explore future research directions and conclude with our findings and recommendations.

In synthesizing this information, we hope to provide an illuminating overview for researchers, policymakers, and practitioners, thus contributing to the knowledge base that drives sustainable cotton production and disease management.

### 2. Background: Cotton Diseases and Their Impact

Cotton, a linchpin of the global textile industry, is beset with a plethora of diseases that pose formidable challenges to farmers, scientists, and policymakers alike. These diseases, in their rampant and varied forms, significantly hamper cotton yield and quality, and in turn, the livelihoods of millions of farmers worldwide (Razzaq et al. 2023).

## 2.1. Major Diseases Affecting Cotton Crops

Numerous diseases affect cotton crops, each with distinct symptoms, causal organisms, and geographic distributions. A few of this warrant special attention due to their widespread incidence and severe impact. Bacterial blight, caused by *Xanthomonas citri subsp. malvacearum*, is a notable bacterial disease affecting cotton. The bacteria attacks all above-ground parts of the plant, producing angular leaf spots, black arm on branches and stems, and boll rot. It can result in significant yield losses, especially in warm, humid conditions (Gururani et al. 2012).

Fungal diseases are particularly troublesome in cotton crops. Verticillium wilt and Fusarium wilt, caused by *Verticillium dahliae* and *Fusarium oxysporum* respectively, are two significant fungal diseases. Both pathogens infect through the roots and spread to the vascular system, causing wilting, yellowing, defoliation, and eventual death of the plant (Lütken et al. 2012).



Cotton is also threatened by several viral diseases, with Cotton leaf curl virus (CLCuV) being particularly devastating in parts of Asia and Africa. Spread by the whitefly, it causes leaf curling, stunted growth, and reduced boll size, often leading to considerable yield losses (Joshi et al. 2016).

## 2.2. Impact of These Diseases on Crop Yield and Quality

The impact of these diseases is felt strongly in terms of both yield and quality. Bacterial blight, for instance, can reduce yield by 10-15% under moderate infection, and up to 50% under severe infection. Verticillium and Fusarium wilts can devastate entire fields under conducive conditions, causing near-total losses. Meanwhile, CLCuV can decrease yield by up to 50-60%, sometimes even leading to total crop failure.

The quality of cotton fiber is also adversely affected. Diseases can impact fiber length, strength, and color, thus reducing its market value. Bacterial blight-infected bolls often stain the lint, making it less desirable for the textile industry. Similarly, Verticillium wilt can cause discoloration of the cotton lint (Abouzeid et al. 2018).

### 2.3. Current Management Strategies and Their Limitations

The management of cotton diseases currently relies heavily on a combination of agronomic practices, chemical control, and resistant cultivars. Crop rotation, destruction of crop residues, and sanitation practices are often recommended to reduce the initial inoculum of pathogens. Chemical control, including bactericides and fungicides, is frequently used, though their effectiveness varies (Sharif et al. 2019).

However, these practices have their limitations. The overuse of chemicals not only raises environmental and health concerns but can also lead to the development of resistance in pathogens. Furthermore, complete control of diseases using chemicals is often impossible, and they are mainly used to limit the disease spread (Deguine et al. 2008).

The use of disease-resistant cultivars has been a relatively successful strategy for some diseases. However, the development of such cultivars is a time-consuming process and is often outpaced by the evolution of new pathogen strains. Thus, while current strategies have contributed to managing cotton diseases to some extent, there is an urgent need for more sustainable, effective, and environmentally friendly solutions. Biotechnological approaches, including the development of transgenic cotton varieties, provide promising avenues to address this challenge, which we will delve into in the ensuing sections of this review.

## 3. Transgenic Strategies: A Historical Perspective

The journey of transgenic technology, from its nascent stages to its current sophisticated form, presents a fascinating tale of scientific advancements, successes, failures, and perpetual learning.

## 3.1. Early Efforts in Developing Transgenic Cotton Varieties

The roots of transgenic strategies trace back to the late 20th century when initial efforts focused on the introduction of desired traits into crops via Agrobacterium-mediated transformation. By 1987, the first transgenic cotton plant was successfully developed, marking a crucial milestone in the intersection of biotechnology and agriculture (Wilkins et al. 2000).

Among the first commercially successful transgenic cotton varieties was Bt cotton, engineered to express an insecticidal protein derived from the bacterium Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt). This protein confers resistance against pests like the bollworm, a scourge of cotton crops worldwide. First introduced in the mid-1990s, Bt cotton quickly gained popularity due to its impressive pest resistance, and within a few years, its cultivation spread across various cotton-growing regions worldwide (Chohan et al. 2020).

### 3.2. Successes and Failures: Lessons Learned

Bt cotton's success heralded a new era in transgenic crop development. Yet, the journey was not without obstacles. The initial lack of understanding about the potential for pests to develop resistance to the Bt toxin led to widespread failures in some regions, with pest populations rebounding after a few years of initial control. This scenario underscores the importance of resistance management and careful stewardship of transgenic technologies (McCarthy et al. 2014).

Simultaneously, efforts were made to engineer resistance against cotton diseases. For instance, an early attempt involved creating cotton plants expressing antimicrobial proteins for resistance against bacterial blight. While laboratory and greenhouse tests showed promise, these transgenic plants failed to demonstrate significant disease resistance under field conditions, illustrating the challenge of translating lab success to real-world application (Morrow and Krieg 1990).

### **3.3.** Evolution of Transgenic Strategies Over Time

Despite these setbacks, the field of transgenic cotton has continuously evolved, driven by advancements in molecular biology, genomics, and plant biotechnology. Over time, strategies have moved beyond single-gene insertions to more complex modifications (Zhu et al. 2007).



The advent of RNA interference (RNAi) technology allowed the targeted silencing of genes in the pathogen, providing a novel approach for disease resistance. For instance, cotton plants expressing dsRNA to suppress the replication of Cotton leaf curl virus showed significant resistance against the virus (Stitt and Sonnewald 1995).

More recently, the emergence of CRISPR/Cas9 gene-editing technology has revolutionized the field of transgenic crops. Unlike traditional transgenic approaches, CRISPR allows precise editing of existing genes without the introduction of foreign DNA, overcoming regulatory and public acceptance hurdles associated with genetically modified organisms. Work is underway to apply this powerful tool to improve cotton disease resistance (Jouanin et al. 1998).

Through successes and failures, the trajectory of transgenic strategies in cotton disease management has provided valuable lessons. These lessons, coupled with the latest advancements in biotechnology, form the steppingstones for the future development of disease-resistant cotton varieties. A comprehensive understanding of the historical context, evolution, and current status of these strategies will be pivotal in guiding future research and implementation efforts (Kasschau and Carrington 1998).

### 4. Current Status of Transgenic Cotton Varieties

In the two decades since the first commercialization of transgenic cotton, the landscape has transformed significantly, marked by substantial scientific breakthroughs, evolving regulatory norms, and fluctuating public opinion.

#### 4.1. Description of Existing Transgenic Cotton Varieties

Several transgenic cotton varieties exist today, each with distinct modifications designed to improve disease and pest resistance. Bt cotton remains the most widely adopted, engineered to express the insecticidal Bt toxin to confer resistance against bollworm pests (Kasschau and Carrington 1998).

Advancements have led to the development of cotton plants resistant to specific diseases. For instance, a virusresistant cotton variety targeting the Cotton leaf curl virus has been developed using RNA interference (RNAi) technology, and similar strategies are being employed to combat fungal and bacterial diseases (Giddings 2001).

Stacked traits are another significant development in the transgenic cotton landscape. These varieties carry multiple transgenes, conferring resistance to various pests or diseases simultaneously. An example is Bollgard III cotton, which includes two different Bt toxins and a protein conferring resistance to the herbicide glyphosate (Naranjo 2011).

### 4.2. Performance of These Varieties in Field Conditions

The performance of these transgenic cotton varieties in field conditions has been broadly successful, though not without challenges. Bt cotton, for instance, has generally led to increased yields and reduced pesticide usage. However, the development of Bt-resistant pests has necessitated the use of multi-toxin varieties and integrated pest management practices (Naranjo 2011).

The field performance of disease-resistant transgenic cotton is still largely in the experimental stage. Preliminary field trials of cotton plants expressing dsRNA against Cotton leaf curl virus show promise, with significant reduction in disease symptoms compared to non-transgenic plants. However, these results need validation in larger, multi-location trials (Traxler et al. 2001).

#### 4.3. Public Acceptance and Regulatory Considerations

Public acceptance and regulatory approval are key determinants of the success of transgenic crops. Public opinion towards genetically modified (GM) crops varies greatly, influenced by perceived benefits and risks, cultural and ethical beliefs, and trust in regulatory authorities.

Bt cotton, being among the first commercially available GM crops, faced significant resistance but has gradually gained acceptance in many regions due to its demonstrable benefits to farmers. However, new transgenic varieties, especially those involving gene editing, will need to navigate the public acceptance landscape carefully (Traxler and Godoy-Avila 2004).

Regulation of GM crops also varies widely between countries. While some have established regulatory systems to assess and manage the risks of GM crops, others have imposed strict restrictions or bans. These differences often reflect varying societal values, economic contexts, and perceptions of risk. For instance, while the United States, India, and China have large-scale commercial plantings of Bt cotton, several European countries have strict regulations limiting GM crop cultivation (Dong and Li 2007).

The current state of transgenic cotton exemplifies the intersection of technological advancement, practical field performance, and societal acceptance. Each of these aspects plays a crucial role in determining the future trajectory of transgenic strategies for cotton disease management.

### 5. Methods for Developing Transgenic Cotton

The advent of biotechnology and genetic engineering has revolutionized the field of plant breeding, providing scientists with powerful tools to modify plants at the genetic level.



## 5.1. Genetic Engineering Techniques

One of the most established methods for developing transgenic cotton is Agrobacterium-mediated transformation. This method exploits the natural ability of the bacterium Agrobacterium tumefaciens to transfer its DNA into plant cells, causing a plant disease known as crown gall. Scientists have repurposed this mechanism for the beneficial transfer of genes of interest, such as those conferring disease resistance, into the cotton plant genome. This technique has been instrumental in creating the first generation of genetically modified cotton plants, including Bt cotton (Brévault et al. 2013).

Another method involves direct DNA transfer techniques such as biolistics or 'gene gun'. Here, tiny particles coated with the desired DNA are fired directly into plant cells using a high-velocity device. Some of the DNA integrates into the plant genome, producing transgenic cells that can be grown into full plants (Chapman et al. 2001).

## 5.2. CRISPR/Cas9 and Other Genome Editing Tools

More recent developments in biotechnology have brought forth genome editing tools like CRISPR/Cas9, which allow for precise, targeted modifications to the plant's existing genetic material. Unlike traditional genetic engineering methods, which involve the introduction of foreign DNA, CRISPR/Cas9 induces specific changes to the plant's own genes. This technology can be used to enhance disease resistance by knocking out susceptibility genes or introducing beneficial mutations (Tabashnik et al. 2005).

Another powerful genome editing tool is the transcription activator-like effector nucleases (TALENs). Similar to CRISPR/Cas9, TALENs can introduce targeted modifications but utilize a different molecular mechanism. While not as commonly used as CRISPR due to its relatively complex design, TALENs have been successfully applied in some cases to improve cotton traits (Keller et al. 1997).

### 5.3. RNA Interference (RNAi) in Disease Resistance

RNA interference (RNAi) is another revolutionary technology that's been widely adopted in plant biotechnology. RNAi works at the RNA level to silence specific genes, preventing their translation into protein. In the context of disease resistance, RNAi can be used to silence key genes in the pathogen, inhibiting its ability to infect the plant (Ren et al. 2019).

For instance, RNAi technology has been employed to combat Cotton leaf curl virus (CLCuV), a major disease affecting cotton crops. Cotton plants have been engineered to produce double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) corresponding to crucial viral genes. When the virus infects these plants, the dsRNA triggers the virus's own RNA silencing machinery, degrading the viral RNA and inhibiting its replication (Zhang and Wang 2013).

Together, these techniques represent the cutting-edge of plant biotechnology, providing versatile tools for improving cotton disease resistance. As our understanding of plant-pathogen interactions deepens, these technologies will continue to be refined and expanded, offering promising prospects for sustainable disease management in cotton. Each of these methods, with its unique set of advantages and limitations, contributes to the diverse toolkit available for developing transgenic cotton (Kannan et al. 2004).

### 6. Case Studies: Successful Implementation of Transgenic Strategies

The success of transgenic strategies in combating cotton diseases can be best appreciated through specific examples. Here, we explore two significant case studies that illuminate the potential and challenges associated with the application of these strategies.

### 6.1. Case Study 1: Bt Cotton in India

Bt cotton represents one of the most significant implementations of transgenic strategies in agriculture. Its introduction in India in 2002 provides a compelling case study. India is the world's largest cotton producer, and cotton bollworm had been causing significant yield losses until the adoption of Bt cotton. Genetically engineered to express the insecticidal protein from Bacillus thuringiensis, Bt cotton effectively controls cotton bollworm. This innovation resulted in decreased pesticide use, improved crop yields, and increased farmer incomes, transforming India from a cotton importer to a leading exporter (Men et al. 2005).

However, the success of Bt cotton in India also underlines challenges. Over-reliance led to the emergence of Btresistant pests, necessitating continuous research into newer pest-resistant technologies and highlighting the need for integrated pest management strategies.

### 6.2. Case Study 2: Virus-Resistant Cotton in China

China has faced considerable challenges with the Cotton leaf curl virus (CLCuV), a disease that can result in significant yield loss. Scientists used RNA interference (RNAi) technology to develop transgenic cotton plants resistant to CLCuV. This involved introducing sequences from the virus into the cotton genome, designed to produce small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) that would silence the viral genes, thereby inhibiting replication (Le Van et al. 2016).



Field trials showed the transgenic cotton plants had significant resistance to the virus, with drastically reduced disease symptoms compared to non-transgenic plants. This example showcases the potential of RNAi technology as a highly specific and effective tool for combating viral diseases in cotton (Daud et al. 2009).

Yet, challenges persist. Public perception of transgenic crops and regulatory hurdles, especially concerning crops with engineered RNA, continue to be obstacles to widespread adoption.

### 6.3. Insights and Lessons from the Case Studies

These case studies illustrate the substantial potential of transgenic technologies to address disease challenges in cotton crops. They demonstrate that carefully designed and implemented transgenic strategies can significantly improve crop yield and farmers' livelihoods.

However, they also underscore the importance of sustainable use of these technologies. The case of Bt cotton in India exemplifies that while transgenic crops can offer immediate and significant benefits, over-reliance and lack of an integrated approach can lead to new challenges such as resistance development (Shen et al. 2015).

Furthermore, the example of virus-resistant cotton in China highlights the vital role of public perception and regulation. Even with promising field trial results, transgenic crops can only be successful with societal acceptance and supportive regulatory frameworks.

Collectively, these case studies provide valuable lessons for the future development and implementation of transgenic strategies in cotton and other crops. The successes should encourage continued research and development in this field, while the challenges should remind us of the importance of integrated, sustainable, and socially accepted approaches.

#### 7. Challenges and Limitations in Applying Transgenic Strategies

While the potential benefits of transgenic strategies in cotton disease management are substantial, these approaches come with a set of unique challenges and limitations that need to be carefully considered and addressed.

### 7.1. Technical Challenges and Limitations

One of the primary technical challenges in the development of transgenic crops is the complexity and cost of the process. Creating a genetically modified organism (GMO) requires advanced lab facilities, specialized knowledge, and a significant investment of time and resources. Even then, the process is not guaranteed to be successful on the first try. Each plant species—and indeed, often each variety within a species—can respond differently to genetic manipulation, requiring optimization and troubleshooting (Zhang et al. 2007).

A second technical challenge is the risk of gene flow from transgenic crops to non-transgenic crops or wild relatives. This "gene escape" could potentially confer the transgene to non-target species, a problem that has been documented in cases like herbicide-resistant crops.

Lastly, the durability of resistance in transgenic crops is a significant concern. As demonstrated by the rise of Btresistant pests, pathogens can evolve to overcome the resistance conferred by a single transgene. This necessitates the development of multi-gene strategies or "gene stacking" to provide more durable resistance.

#### 7.2. Ethical, Legal, and Social Implications

Ethical, legal, and social issues represent some of the most contentious challenges associated with transgenic crops. Many people have ethical concerns about manipulating the genetics of living organisms, seeing it as an overreach of human power or an intrusion into nature (Gao et al. 2017). Legal challenges are also prevalent, with differing regulations around the world concerning GMO development, testing, and commercialization. Transgenic crops must navigate complex regulatory processes that can differ dramatically between countries (Chen et al. 2017).

On a social level, acceptance of GMOs varies greatly among different communities and cultures. Public fears and misconceptions about GMOs can hinder their acceptance and adoption, even when scientific evidence supports their safety and effectiveness.

#### 7.3. Environmental and Biosafety Concerns

Transgenic crops also raise several environmental and biosafety concerns. These include potential impacts on nontarget organisms, the development of resistance in pests and diseases, and the potential for unintended effects due to the complex interactions between genetically engineered traits and the environment (Long et al. 2018).

For example, Bt crops have raised concerns about their impact on non-target insects. While research generally supports the safety of Bt crops for non-target organisms, monitoring and research are required to ensure no unforeseen impacts emerge (Iqbal et al. 2016). Biosafety concerns include the potential for allergenicity or toxicity of the transgenic crop. While stringent safety assessments are part of the regulatory process for GMOs, public concerns about the safety of consuming GMOs persist (Chen et al. 2021).



In summary, while transgenic strategies offer powerful tools for managing cotton diseases, these challenges and limitations underline the importance of a thoughtful, balanced, and integrated approach to their use. Ongoing research and dialogue are needed to address these challenges and maximize the benefits of these innovative technologies while minimizing their risks and downsides.

## 8. Future Directions in Transgenic Cotton Research

The field of transgenic cotton research is a dynamic one, continuously evolving as we increase our understanding of plant biology and refine our genetic manipulation tools. Looking ahead, several future directions emerge as promising avenues for exploration and development.

### 8.1. Potential Areas for Future Research and Development

One potential area for future research lies in the identification of novel resistance genes and the understanding of their mode of action. While significant progress has been made in this regard, vast parts of plant genomes and their associated functions remain unexplored. Advances in genomics and bioinformatics offer promising opportunities to mine these 'genomic dark matters' for novel resistance genes (Janga et al. 2017).

Emerging biotechnological tools also present exciting opportunities. For instance, the field of synthetic biology, where new genetic circuits are designed and built, opens up possibilities for creating more sophisticated and effective transgenic crops (Li et al. 2019).

Moreover, the role of non-coding RNAs, such as microRNAs and long non-coding RNAs, in plant defense responses has begun to be appreciated. Engineering these non-coding RNAs could represent a new frontier in transgenic plant development.

### 8.2. Opportunities for Integration with Other Disease Management Strategies

Integration of transgenic strategies with other disease management approaches represents another significant future direction. The use of transgenic crops should not be viewed in isolation but as part of an integrated disease management (IDM) approach. For example, transgenic disease-resistant varieties can be coupled with good agricultural practices, biocontrol agents, and disease forecasting models to achieve more sustainable and robust disease control (Yin et al. 2019).

Furthermore, innovations in digital agriculture, such as drone technology and artificial intelligence, can be utilized to monitor crop health and pest populations in real-time. These technologies could assist in the timely application of interventions and minimize unnecessary pesticide use, particularly in fields with transgenic crops.

### 8.3. Anticipated Developments in Regulatory Frameworks

With the rapid advances in biotechnology, we can anticipate shifts in regulatory frameworks to keep pace with the changing landscape. As the line between traditional breeding techniques and genetic engineering becomes increasingly blurred, regulations will need to adapt to ensure both safety and innovation (Binyameen et al. 2021). Particularly with the advent of gene editing technologies like CRISPR, which do not necessarily involve the introduction of foreign DNA, current GMO regulations may become insufficient or irrelevant. An important future direction will be the development of new, nuanced regulatory frameworks that can address these advanced biotechnologies (Lei et al. 2021).

Furthermore, there is a need for international harmonization of regulatory standards to facilitate the global trade of transgenic crops. This will be a complex task, requiring cooperation and dialogue between different countries and stakeholders.

In summary, the future of transgenic cotton research is both exciting and challenging. It promises continued innovation and improvement in disease resistance, while simultaneously calling for careful consideration of broader ecological, social, and regulatory issues. As we navigate this future, the focus should remain on the ultimate goal: sustainable and resilient cotton production that supports farmers and benefits society.

## 9. Conclusion

## 9.1. Summary of Key Points Discussed in the Review

This review has provided a comprehensive overview of the role of biotechnology in mitigating cotton diseases, spanning from historical perspectives to future outlooks. The broad range of cotton diseases presents significant challenges to crop yield and quality, which have historically been managed through various methods with varying degrees of success. Biotechnology, and specifically transgenic techniques, offers a powerful tool to improve disease resistance in cotton. With successful implementations like Bt cotton and RNAi-based virus-resistant cotton, these technologies have demonstrated significant potential in reducing yield losses and improving farmer livelihoods.

However, these technologies are not without limitations and challenges. The complexity of genetic engineering, potential for gene escape, development of pest resistance, and the cost of the technology all present technical hurdles.



Furthermore, there are considerable ethical, legal, and social concerns, as well as environmental and biosafety implications, associated with the application of transgenic strategies.

## 9.2. Conclusions Drawn from the Current State of Knowledge

From the current state of knowledge, it is clear that transgenic strategies have the potential to substantially improve disease resistance in cotton. Successful case studies demonstrate the possible benefits in terms of yield improvement, pesticide reduction, and economic advantages for farmers. However, the use of these technologies must be considered as part of an integrated disease management strategy, and their development and implementation should be done thoughtfully, keeping in mind the potential challenges and limitations. Regulatory frameworks also need to evolve alongside these technologies, balancing the need for biosafety with the potential benefits of these techniques.

Furthermore, while considerable progress has been made in the development of transgenic cotton varieties, there is still a vast amount of untapped potential in plant genomes that could be exploited for future disease resistance traits.

### 9.3. Recommendations for Future Research Directions

Looking forward, the future of transgenic cotton research is exciting and promising. Continued research should focus on exploring uncharted regions of the cotton genome, identifying novel resistance genes, and developing new transgenic varieties utilizing advanced biotechnological tools like synthetic biology and genome editing.

It is also crucial to consider the integration of transgenic strategies with other disease management approaches, embracing a holistic view of disease management that includes good agricultural practices, biocontrol agents, and digital agriculture technologies. Lastly, as the landscape of biotechnology evolves rapidly, there is a pressing need to develop and refine regulatory frameworks that can address these advancements while ensuring safety and promoting innovation.

In conclusion, while challenges persist, the potential benefits of transgenic strategies in cotton disease management are substantial. As we move forward, it is critical to adopt an approach that balances the pursuit of technological innovation with careful consideration of ethical, social, environmental, and regulatory implications. Such an approach will ensure that we maximize the benefits of these technologies while minimizing potential risks and downsides.

## REFERENCES

- Abouzeid RE., Khiari R, El-Wakil N and Dufresne A, 2018. Current state and new trends in the use of cellulose nanomaterials for wastewater treatment. Biomacromolecules 20(2), 573-597.
- Babar M, Khalid MN, Haq MWU, Hanif M, Ali Z, Awais M, Saleem S, 2023. 12. A comprehensive review on drought stress response in cotton at physiological, biochemical and molecular level. Pure and Applied Biology (PAB), 12(1), 610-622.
- Bano M, Shakeel A, Khalid MN, Ahmad NH, Sharif MS, Kanwal S, Amjad I, 2023. Estimation of Combining Ability for Within-Boll Yield Components in Upland Cotton (Gossypium hirsutum). Sarhad Journal of Agriculture 39(1).
- Binyameen B, Khan Z, Khan SH, Ahmad A, Munawar N, Mubarik MS, Qusmani AT, 2021. Using multiplexed CRISPR/Cas9 for suppression of cotton leaf curl virus. International Journal of Molecular Sciences 22(22), 12543.
- Brévault T, Heuberger S, Zhang M, Ellers-Kirk C, Ni X, Masson L, Carrière Y, 2013. Potential shortfall of pyramided transgenic cotton for insect resistance management. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 110(15), 5806-5811.
- Chapman KD, Austin-Brown S, Sparace SA, Kinney AJ, Ripp KG, Pirtle IL and Pirtle RM, 2001. Transgenic cotton plants with increased seed oleic acid content. Journal of the American Oil Chemists' Society 78(9), 941-947.
- Chen X, Lu X, Shu N, Wang S, Wang J, Wang D, Ye W, 2017. Targeted mutagenesis in cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.) using the CRISPR/Cas9 system. Scientific Reports 7(1), 44304.
- Chen Y, Fu M, Li H, Wang L, Liu R, Liu Z, Jin S, 2021. High-oleic acid content, nontransgenic allotetraploid cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L) generated by knockout of GhFAD2 genes with CRISPR/Cas9 system. Plant Biotechnology Journal 19(3), 424.
- Chohan S, Perveen R, Abid M, Tahir MN and Sajid M, 2020. Cotton diseases and their management. Cotton Production and Uses: Agronomy, Crop Protection, and Postharvest Technologies, 239-270.
- Daud M, Sun Y, Dawood M, Hayat Y, Variath M, Wu Y-X, Zhu S, 2009. Cadmium-induced functional and ultrastructural alterations in roots of two transgenic cotton cultivars. Journal of Hazardous Materials 161(1), 463-473.
- Deguine J-P, Ferron P and Russell D, 2008. Sustainable pest management for cotton production. A review. Agronomy for sustainable Development 28, 113-137.
- Dong H and Li W, 2007. Variability of endotoxin expression in Bt transgenic cotton. Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science 193(1), 21-29.
- Gao W, Long L, Tian X, Xu F, Liu J, Singh PK, Song C, 2017. Genome editing in cotton with the CRISPR/Cas9 system. Frontiers in Plant Science, 8, 1364.
- Giddings G, 2001. Transgenic plants as protein factories. Current Opinion in Biotechnology, 12(5), 450-454.
- Gururani MA, Venkatesh J, Upadhyaya CP, Nookaraju A, Pandey SK and Park SW, 2012. Plant disease resistance genes: current status and future directions. Physiological and Molecular Plant Pathology 78, 51-65.
- Hassan A, Khalid MN, Rehman ZU, Amjad I, Mudasir M, Rasheed Z and Chaudhry UF, 2021. Hormones Performs a Crucial Role in the Regulation of Cotton Fiber Synthesis.
- Iqbal Z, Sattar MN and Shafiq M, 2016. CRISPR/Cas9: a tool to circumscribe cotton leaf curl disease. Frontiers in Plant Science 7, 475.

### AGROBIOLOGICAL RECORDS ISSN: 2708-7182 (Print); ISSN: 2708-7190 (Online) Open Access Journal



- Janga MR, Campbell LM and Rathore KS, 2017. CRISPR/Cas9-mediated targeted mutagenesis in upland cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.). Plant molecular biology, 94, 349-360.
- Joshi R, Wani SH, Singh B, Bohra A, Dar ZA, Lone AA, Singla-Pareek SL, 2016. Transcription factors and plants response to drought stress: current understanding and future directions. Frontiers in Plant Science, 7, 1029.
- Jouanin L, Bonadé-Bottino M, Girard C, Morrot G and Giband M, 1998. Transgenic plants for insect resistance. Plant Science, 131(1), 1-11.
- Kannan M, Uthamasamy S and Mohan S, 2004. Impact of insecticides on sucking pests and natural enemy complex of transgenic cotton. Current Science, 726-729.
- Kasschau KD and Carrington JC, 1998. A counterdefensive strategy of plant viruses: suppression of posttranscriptional gene silencing. Cell 95(4), 461-470.
- Keller G, Spatola L, McCABE D, Martinell B, Swain W and John ME, 1997. Transgenic cotton resistant to herbicide bialaphos. Transgenic Research, 6, 385-392.
- Khalid MN, Abdullah A, Ijaz Z, Naheed N, Hamad A, Sheir MA, Khan MD, 2021. Application and Potential Use of Advanced Bioinformatics Techniques in Agriculture and Animal Sciences. Ind J Pure App Biosci 9(3), 237-246.
- Le Van N, Ma C, Shang J, Rui Y, Liu S and Xing B, 2016. Effects of CuO nanoparticles on insecticidal activity and phytotoxicity in conventional and transgenic cotton. Chemosphere, 144, 661-670.
- Lei J, Dai P, Li J, Yang M, Li X, Zhang W, Liu X, 2021. Tissue-specific CRISPR/Cas9 system of cotton pollen with GhPLIMP2b and GhMYB24 promoters. Journal of Plant Biology 64, 13-21.
- Li J, Manghwar H, Sun L, Wang P, Wang G, Sheng H, Rui H, 2019. Whole genome sequencing reveals rare off-target mutations and considerable inherent genetic or/and somaclonal variations in CRISPR/Cas9-edited cotton plants. Plant Biotechnology Journal 17(5), 858-868.
- Long L, Guo D-D, Gao W, Yang W-W, Hou L-P, Ma X-N, Song C-P, 2018. Optimization of CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing in cotton by improved sgRNA expression. Plant Methods 14, 1-9.
- Lütken H, Clarke JL and Müller R, 2012. Genetic engineering and sustainable production of ornamentals: current status and future directions. Plant Cell Reports 31, 1141-1157.
- Manan A, Zafar MM, Ren M, Khurshid M, Sahar A, Rehman A, Shakeel AJPPS, 2022. Genetic analysis of biochemical, fiber yield and quality traits of upland cotton under high-temperature. 25(1), 105-119.
- McCarthy AC, Hancock NH and Raine SR, 2014. Simulation of irrigation control strategies for cotton using Model Predictive Control within the VARIwise simulation framework. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture 101, 135-147.
- Men X, Ge F, Edwards CA and Yardim EN, 2005. The influence of pesticide applications on Helicoverpa armigera Hübner and sucking pests in transgenic Bt cotton and non-transgenic cotton in China. Crop Protection 24(4), 319-324.
- Morrow M and Krieg D, 1990. Cotton management strategies for a short growing season environment: Water-nitrogen considerations. Agronomy Journal 82(1), 52-56.
- Naranjo SE, 2011. Impacts of Bt transgenic cotton on integrated pest management. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 59(11), 5842-5851.
- Razzaq, A., Ali, A., Zafar, M. M., Nawaz, A., Xiaoying, D., Pengtao, L., ... & Youlu, Y. (2021a). Pyramiding of cry toxins and methanol producing genes to increase insect resistance in cotton. *GM Crops & Food*, *12*(1), 382-395.
- Razzaq, A., ZAFAR, M. M., ALI, A., HAFEEZ, A., BATOOL, W., SHI, Y., ... & YUAN, Y. (2021). Cotton germplasm improvement and progress in Pakistan. Journal of Cotton Research, 4(1), 1-14.
- Razzaq, A., Ali, A., Zahid, S., Malik, A., Pengtao, L., Gong, W., ... & Zafar, M. M. (2023). Engineering of cry genes "Cry II and Cry Ih" in cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.) for protection against insect pest attack. Archives of Phytopathology and Plant Protection, 56(5), 384-396.
- Ren, M., Zafar, M. M., Mo, H., Yang, Z., & Li, F. (2019). Fighting against fall armyworm by using multiple genes pyramiding and silencing (MGPS) technology. Sci China Life Sci, 62(12), 1703-6.
- Sharif I, Aleem S, Farooq J, Rizwan M, Younas A, Sarwar G and Chohan SM, 2019. Salinity stress in cotton: effects, mechanism of tolerance and its management strategies. Physiology and Molecular Biology of Plants 25, 807-820.
- Shen G, Wei J, Qiu X, Hu R, Kuppu S, Auld D, Zhang H, 2015. Co-overexpression of AVP1 and AtNHX1 in cotton further improves drought and salt tolerance in transgenic cotton plants. Plant Molecular Biology Reporter 33, 167-177.
- Stitt M and Sonnewald U, 1995. Regulation of metabolism in transgenic plants. Annual Review of Plant Biology 46(1), 341-368.
- Tabashnik BE, Dennehy TJ and Carrière Y, 2005. Delayed resistance to transgenic cotton in pink bollworm. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 102(43), 15389-15393.

Traxler G and Godoy-Avila S, 2004. Transgenic cotton in Mexico.

- Traxler G, Godoy-Avila S, Falck-Zepeda J and Espinoza-Arellano J, 2001. Transgenic cotton in Mexico: economic and environmental impacts. In: ICABR.
- Wilkins TA, Rajasekaran K and Anderson DM, 2000. Cotton biotechnology. Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences, 19(6), 511-550.
- Yin K, Han T, Xie K, Zhao J, Song J and Liu Y, 2019. Engineer complete resistance to Cotton Leaf Curl Multan virus by the CRISPR/Cas9 system in Nicotiana benthamiana. Phytopathology Research 1(1), 1-9.
- Zafar, M. M., Jia, X., Shakeel, A., Sarfraz, Z., Manan, A., Imran, A., ... & Ren, M. (2022a). Unraveling heat tolerance in upland cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.) using univariate and multivariate analysis. *Frontiers in plant science*, *12*, 727835.
- Zafar, M. M., Manan, A., Razzaq, A., Zulfqar, M., Saeed, A., Kashif, M., ... & Ren, M. (2021). Exploiting agronomic and biochemical traits to develop heat resilient cotton cultivars under climate change scenarios. *Agronomy*, *11*(9), 1885.
- Zafar, M. M., Mustafa, G., Shoukat, F., Idrees, A., Ali, A., Sharif, F., ... & Li, F. (2022b). Heterologous expression of cry3Bb1 and cry3 genes for enhanced resistance against insect pests in cotton. *Scientific Reports*, 12(1), 10878. doi:10.1038/s41598-022-13295-x



- Zafar, M. M., Razzaq, A., Farooq, M. A., Rehman, A., Firdous, H., Shakeel, A., ... & Ren, M. (2020). Insect resistance management in Bacillus thuringiensis cotton by MGPS (multiple genes pyramiding and silencing). *Journal of Cotton Research*, 3(1), 1-13.
- Zafar, M. M., Shakeel, A., Haroon, M., Manan, A., Sahar, A., Shoukat, A., ... & Ren, M. (2022c). Effects of salinity stress on some growth, physiological, and biochemical parameters in cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.) germplasm. *Journal of Natural Fibers*, 19(14), 8854-8886.

Zhang B and Wang Q, 2013. Transgenic cotton. Methods in Molecular Biology 958.

- Zhang Z, Tian X, Duan L, Wang B, He Z and Li Z, 2007. Differential responses of conventional and Bt-transgenic cotton to potassium deficiency. Journal of Plant Nutrition 30(5), 659-670.
- Zhu C, Naqvi S, Gomez-Galera S, Pelacho AM, Capell T and Christou P, 2007. Transgenic strategies for the nutritional enhancement of plants. Trends in Plant Science 12(12), 548-555.