

ELUCIDATE THE ROLE OF GLUCANASE GENE AGAINST FUNGAL PATHOGENS

Mawra Nadeem ^{1*}, Bilal Ahmad² and Fiza Shaukat ^{3*}

¹Faculty of medicine, Chulalongkorn University 1873 Rama 4 Road Pathumwan, Bangkok 10330, Thailand

²Department of Plant Pathology, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad-Pakistan

³Centre of Agricultural Biochemistry and Biotechnology (CABB), University of Agriculture, Faisalabad-Pakistan

*Corresponding author: mawranadeem91@gmail.com; fizaali.3855@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Fungal pathogens are one of the serious devastating agents limiting crop yield to a great extent. Premier management practices to tackle these diseases are the development of disease resistant varieties, application of appropriate fungicides, use of biocontrol agents, plant-based extracts and induction of innate host resistance. These management practices are of great value but have certain limitations i.e., side effects, high cost and decreased efficiency. Resistance development against the fungal pathogens inspired the scientists to explore modern techniques and produce plants with broad spectrum resistance against fungal pathogens. Transgenic technology holds a great potential in this regard. The advancements in molecular biotechnology have enabled the scientists to identify, isolate and characterize the plant stress responsive genes for plant transformation and also explained their role to combat stresses. *Trichoderma harzianum* is a potential biocontrol agent successfully employed for the control of many economically important pathogens. The biocontrol activity of *Trichoderma* spp. is majorly attributed to chitinolytic and glucanolytic enzymes having ability to degrade chitins and glucans. Glucanases are therefore one of the key groups of enzymes involved in mycoparasitism. They are classified on the basis of glucosidic linkage, they cleave i.e. α -1, 3-glucanases, β -1, 3-glucanases, α -1, 4-glucanases, β -1, 6-glucanases. The present review aims to explain the role of glucanase genes in the plant defense system and elaborate how glucanase genes protect plants from pathogens.

Keywords: Glucanase, β -1, 6-Glucanases, Pathogenesis-Related Proteins (PRP), Anti-Fungal Protein

Article History (22-10130) || Received: 13 Oct 2022 || Revised: 24 Nov 2022 || Accepted: 02 Dec 2022 || Published Online: 09 Dec 2022

1. INTRODUCTION

Fungal pathogens are one of the disastrous causative agents that limit the yield of crops. Crops are weakened by devastating diseases resulting in low yields and poor quality. A crop may be completely wiped out by fungal pathogens (Lucas et al. 1992; Anu et al. 2019). Previously they developed disease resistant varieties, applied specific fungicide, biological agent, and plant based extract were used to tackle these diseases (El-Saeid 2011) and induction of innate host resistance (Sundar et al. 2001). These management practices are of pivotal importance but have certain limitations i.e., side effects, high cost and decreased efficiency (Zafar et al. 2020). Repeated application of fungicides is causing environmental pollution, health problems, food contamination with chemicals and death of beneficial organisms. Additionally fungicides might become less effective owing to the evolution of resistant pathogens (Lucas et al. 1992; Faize et al. 2004). It is now possible to isolate, identify and characterize plant stress responsive genes for plant transformation (Azevedo et al. 2011).

Breeding for resistance reduces the need for other methods to control pathogens but is facing certain biological and financial limitations. Another difficulty coupled with the use of resistance genes is the appearance of new virulent pathotypes which are capable of conquering a previously used resistance gene (Radhakrishnan et al. 2021). Fungal pathogens are frequently becoming resistant to fungicides toward the existing genes, so other methods to control diseases are really required (Rommens and Kishore 2000).

1.1. Plants defense mechanism

Plants have evolved several mechanisms to recognize and respond against infections by activating an appropriate defense response (Zafar et al. 2022a). Primary barriers are made to serve as an initial impediment to pathogens (Osborn 2001). Once these obstacles are overcome, elicitors from the pathogen induces a cascade of defense responses in the plant cell such as the stiffening of the cell wall with further deposition callose, lignin and suberin, acceleration of hypersensitivity reactions, production of phytoalexins, phenolic compounds pathogenesis-

include associated pathogenesis-related (PR) proteins, reactive oxygen species (ROS) and many other defense proteins (Hématy et al. 2009; Farooq et al. 2021). These defensive reactions are collectively known as “defense responses” of resistant plants. Such inducible defense developed throughout evolution. In certain situations almost all the plants produce defensive proteins, although these defensive proteins morphologically different but structurally and functionally are similar (De jonge et al. 2011; Ren et al. 2019).

1.2. Role of *Trichoderma harzianum*-derived hydrolytic enzymes in plants defense mechanism

Plant pathogens controlled by microorganisms have been environmentally acceptable alternatives to the existing methods of chemical treatment and considered a more natural (Baker and Paulitz 1996) as plants possess mutually basal and inducible mechanisms to defend themselves against invading pathogens. Seventeen families of pathogenesis-related proteins have been recognized, which have different properties. The PR-2 family proteins showed an endo-1,3- β -glucanase activity (Kauffmann et al. 1987), whereas the PR proteins of families 3, 4, 8 and 11 have endochitinase activity (Campo et al. 2004). *Trichoderma harzianum*-derived hydrolytic enzymes (glucanase, chitinase, chitosanase) are supposed to have more mycoparasitic potential as compared to all of the aforementioned sources of antifungal proteins. *Trichoderma spp.* are commonly secluded from soil and prevailed in the plant root ecosystem (Harman et al. 2004).

They produce extracellular enzymes of many types that degrade cell walls of fungi (Papavizas 1985; Sanz et al. 2004). Plant roots must be colonized by *Trichoderma* strains for stimulation of growth and to protect against infections. Colonization implies the capacity to stick and identify plant roots, pierce the plant and endure metabolites that were toxic synthesized via plants in reaction to attack by a foreign organism, whether pathogens or not. In response to fungal invasion, plants synthesize and accumulate flavonoids, phytoalexins, terpenoids, aglycones, phenolic derivatives and other antimicrobial compounds. *Trichoderma* strains are usually more resistant to these compounds than the majority of fungi, their capacity to colonize plant roots robustly depend on the ability of each strain to bear those (Harman et al. 2004).

1.3. Role of Glucanase Gene in Plant Defense System

The β -glucanases have commercial application in the textile industry as fading agent and hydrolysis of cellulose during drying of beans and processing of coffee. It is used for the oxidative degradation of biomass into biofuel. The β -glucanases are valuable medication for the allergies caused by foodstuff and environmental pollution. The β -glucanases is commonly used for detoxification of cells from array toxic compounds, codon hydrotherapy and chronic pain syndromes. Infections caused by yeast *Candida albicans*, abnormal abdominal swelling characterized as bloating, facial anxiety or paralysis are also treated by β -glucanases. The β -glucanases aids in the removal of excess cholesterol from the intestine and the breaking down of the cellulosic cell wall of plants (Alvarez et al. 1993).

There are two type of glucanases exo β -1-3-glucanases and exo- β -glucanases. Glucose monomers are produced by exo β -1-3-glucanases and oligosaccharides produced by exo- β -glucanases when hydrolyzed (Cohen-Kupiec et al., 1999). Glucanases play an important part in defense mechanism, cell differentiation (Donzelli et al. 2001) and cell development (De La Cruz et al. 1995). Glucanases are also important in plant and fungal pathogen interaction. 1-3-glucans is a substrate of glucanases that is present in laminarin and callose in the cell wall of fungi which is induced by environmental stress or by the attack of pathogens on plants. Glucanases protect plants by preventing the growth of fungal pathogens (Jach et al. 1995). Glucanase secretes elicitors from pathogen cell wall to stimulate defense mechanism (Keen and Yoshikawa 1983). β -1-3-glucanases play an important roles i.e., in higher plants as well as cell lines play role in defense mechanism when microorganisms invade. *Trichoderma spp.* secrete numerous hydrolytic enzymes having antifungal properties (Ait-Lahsen et al. 2001).

Glucanases are present in many higher plants, fungi, yeast, actinomycetes, insects and fish (Pan et al. 1989) and are considerable to be one of the important component of plants defense mechanism either alone or in combination with chitinases or other antifungal proteins. Peumans et al. (2000) reported that the occurrence of the β -1. 3-glucanases in tobacco, soybean, rubber tree. Yamaguchi et al. (2002) reported parallel results in rice. A single plant might have β -1. 3-glucanases that are different in size i.e. tobacco have more than 14 types. Many (PR) pathogenesis-related proteins that are induced by the plant defense system have direct antimicrobial effects. For example, defensins, chitinases, glucanases, proteinase inhibitors and hydrogen per-oxide-generating enzymes are all induced as part of the hypersensitive response. Numerous attempts have been made to introduce genes encoding these into transgenic plants under the control of constitutive promoters to increase the level of the proteins and enhance resistance.

The β -1-3-glucanases and β -1-6-glucanases are semi constitutive enzymes. The ability of *Trichoderma spp.* to inhibit the growth of fungal parasites can be used as a tool for *in-vitro* screening of biocontrol candidates (Sivan and Chet 1989). Kubicek et al. (2001) reported the use of different species of *Trichoderma* as biological control agent of

plant diseases and purified enzymes in the form of expressed genes in transgenic plants. The mechanisms involved in the antagonistic effect of *Trichoderma* species against the plant pathogen are important in the selection of suitable biological control agents for safe utilization and more effective as an arsenal for chemical control of plant pathogens (Vinale et al. 2008).

Genetic engineering holds the potential to improve disease resistance by inserting genes from any species that produce resistance proteins to any crop (van der Biezen 2001; Razaq et al. 2021a; Zafar et al. 2022).

Table I: Described the plasmid, genes and their gene delivery method for developing transgenic plants resistant to fungus

Name of the Gene	Source	*Method of Transformation	Vector	Host plant	References
Chitinase (<i>chi1</i>)	<i>Rhizopus oligosporus</i>	ABM	pBI121CH	Tobacco	Terakawa et al. (1997)
Chitinase (<i>RCC2</i>)	Rice	ABM	pBI121-EN4-RCC2	Chrysanthemum	Takatsu et al. (1999)
Glucanase (<i>SGN1</i>)	Soybean	ABM	pBI101.1	Tobacco	Cheong et al. (2000)
Chitinase (<i>RCC2</i>)	Rice	ABM	pBI121-RCC2	Grapevine	Yamamoto et al. (2000)
Trichosanthin (<i>TCS</i>)	Snake gourd	ABM	pC1301-HY	Rice	Xiaotian et al. (2000)
Chitinase (<i>Oschia</i>)	Rice pistils	ABM	pYOT175G	Rice	Takakura et al. (2000)
Chitinase (<i>Chi</i>)	tobacco	ABM	pBI121-pBTex	Peanut	Rohini and Rao. (2001)
Chitinase (<i>RC7</i>)	Rice	Biolistic and PEG-mediated	pGL2RC7	Rice	Datta et al. (2001)
Chitinase (<i>RCC2</i>)	Rice	ABM	pBI121_/RCC2	Cucumber	Kishimoto et al. (2002)
Chitinase like cDNA (<i>Chs2</i>)	American elm	Biolistic	KYLX71-pHS2;JS101	Creeping bent grass	Chai et al. (2002)
<i>b-1,3 glucanase and chitinase genes</i>	Pea	ABM	pGlu;pChit	Potato	Chang et al. (2002)
Chitinase (<i>CTS1-2</i>)	<i>Saccharoyces cerevisiae</i>	ABM	pART27:CTS	Tobacco	Carstens et al. (2003)
Ribosome-inactivating protein (<i>MOD1</i>); Chitinase (<i>RCH10</i>)	<i>MOD1</i> from Maize; <i>RCH10</i> from Rice	Biolistic	pZRC72	Rice	Kim et al. (2003)
Stress-inducible β -Glucanase (<i>Gns1</i>)	Rice	ABM	pBI333-35S-Gns1	Rice	Nishizawa et al. (2003)
Chitinase (<i>RCH10</i>); Glucanase (<i>ALG</i>)	<i>RCH10</i> from rice; <i>ALG</i> from alfalfa	Biolistic	pABT127; pZ100	Creeping bent grass	Wang et al. (2003)
Chitinase (<i>chi11</i>)	Rice	ABM	pMKU-RF2	Rice	Kumar et al. (2003)
Cationic peptide (<i>msrA3</i>)	Synthetic preparation	ABM	pDMSRA3-1217	Potato	Osusky et al. (2004)
Glucanase (<i>Bglu</i>)	Potato	ABM	pGAgIubsens	Flax	Wro 'bel Kwiatkowska et al. (2004)
Chitinase (<i>ech42</i>); Chitinase (<i>nag70</i>); Glucanase (<i>gluc78</i>)	<i>Trichoderma atroviride</i>	ABM	pCAMBIA (different vectors for each gene)	Rice	Mei et al. (2004)
Chitinase (<i>Chi</i>); Ribosome in activating protein (<i>Rip</i>)	<i>Chi</i> from bean; <i>rip</i> from barley	ABM	pBRC; pARIP; pBchE	Soya bean	Li et al. (2004)
Chitinase (<i>CHIT</i>); Glucanase (<i>GLUC</i>)	<i>CHIT</i> from cucumber; <i>GLUC</i> from tobacco	ABM	pLI12	Potato	Moravčiková et al. (2004)
Glucanase (<i>OsGLN2</i>)	Rice	ABM	pGST-OsGLN2	Rice	Akiyama et al. (2004)
Antifungal protein (<i>Afp</i>)	<i>Aspergillus giganteus</i> (chemically synthesized)	ABM	pCambial300:ubi::nat-afp::nos; pCambial300:ubi::synt-afp::nos	Rice	Coca et al. (2004)
Chitinase (<i>BjCH11</i>); Glucanase (<i>HbGLU</i>)	<i>HbGLU</i> from rubber tree; <i>BjCH11</i> from mustard	ABM	pBj17; pBj47; HEV43	Potato	Chye et al. (2005)
Antifungal protein	Prawn (Synthetic)	Biolistic	pPin35S; pBar35S	Finger miller	Latha et al. (2005)

(AFP-PIN)	preparations)				
Chitinase (Chi)	Bean	ABM	pBI121-BCH	Cotton	Tohidfar et al. (2005)
Chitinase (RCC2)	rice	ABM	pBI121	Trifoliolate orange	Mitani et al. (2006)
Chitinase (ch5B); Glucanase (gln2); Antifungalprotein(ap24)	ch5B from Beans (<i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i>); gln2 and ap24 from tobacco	ABM	pHCHI; pHGLU; pHAP24; pHCA35; pHGA37, pHGC39	Strawberry	Vellicce et al. (2006)
Chitinase; Glucanase	Barley	ABM	pKitGluc:1	Oilseed rape	Melander et al. (2006)
Glucanase (GLU); Antifungal protein (alfAFP); Glucanase (GLU-AFP)	GLU from tobacco; alfAFP from Alfalfa Synthetically prepared	ABM	pEAFP; pEGLu; pAFP-Glu	Tomato	Chen et al. (2006)
ER-CecA;Ap-CecA(CecropinA)	Synthetically prepared	ABM	pCubi::Ap-CecA::nos; pCubi::ER-CecA::nos	Rice	Coca et al. (2006)
Antifungal protein (Afp)	<i>Aspergillus giganteus</i>	Biolistic	pubi2afp; p35SAcS	Pearl millet	Girgi et al. (2006)
Antifungal protein (AFP-PIN)	Prawn (Synthetic preparations)	Biolistic	pPin35S; pBar35S	Pearl millet	Latha et al. (2006)
α -1-purothionin;tlp-1 gene;b-1,3-glucanase gene	α -1-purothionin from wheat; tlp-1 & b-1,3-glucanase from barley	Biolistic	pKM1; pUBKBarGluc-3; pAHCBarPR5; pAHC25	Wheat	Mackintosh et al. (2006)
Chitinase (CHIT); Glucanase (GLUC)	CHIT from cucumber; GLUC from tobacco	ABM	pJL06	Potato	Mackintosh et al. (2006)
Chitinases (RCH10&RAC22); Glucanase (β -Glu); Ribosomeinactivatingprotein (B-RIP)	RCH10 and RAC2 2 from rice; b-Glu from alfalfa; B-RIP from barley	Biolistic	pRASI300	Rice	Zhu et al. (2007)
Chitinase(chi1); Thaumatin-likeprotein (tlp)	Rice	Biolistic	pAHG11; pAHCRC-tlp	Barley	Tobias et al. (2007)
Glucanase	Tomato	ABM	PBinGB	Indian mustard	Mondal et al. (2007)
Chitinase (ricchi1)	Rice	ABM	pBI121/ricchi1	Taro	He et al. (2008)
Chitinase (ChiC)	<i>Streptomyces griseus</i>	ABM	pEKHGCO1A	Potato	Raham et al. (2008)
Mustard defencin (BjD)	Mustard	ABM	pCAMBIA2300	Tobacco, peanut	Anuradha et al. (2008)
Chitinase (chi1); Glucanase (gluc)	chi1 from rice; gluc from Tobacco	ABM	pNSP3	Rice	Sridevi et al. (2008)
Chitinase 383; Glucanase 638; Cationic peroxidase (POC1)	Chitinase and Glucanase from Wheat; POC1 from Rice	ABM	pCambial300:ubi-383; pCambial300:ubi-638; pCambial300:ubi-POC1	Carrot	Wally et al. (2009)
Chitinase (Chit30)	<i>Streptomyces olivaceoviridis</i>	ABM	pGreenII0229	Pea	Hassan et al. (2009)
β -1,6- glucan (neg1)	<i>Penicillium islandicum</i> , <i>Agaricus brasiliensis</i>		pCold1 DNA		Yamanaka et al. (2020)
licA	<i>Orpinomyces sp. GMLF 18</i>	Electroporation	pIL253	<i>L. lactis</i> .	Uğur ÇÖMLEKCİOĞLU et al. (2011)
β -1,3-glucanase	oomycete <i>Phytophthora spp.</i>	ABM	pCAMBIA1381Z TDNA vector	<i>Hevea brasiliensis</i>	Radhakrishnan et al. (2021)
FfGS6	<i>Flammulina filiformis</i>	ABM	pBHg-Egfp	Fujian Edible Fungi	Liu, Yuanyuan et al. (2022)

*ABM=Agrobacterium-mediated.

Several complex mechanisms have been identified in plants which evolved in response to pathogens. Many genes have also been elucidated that are involved in the various pathways and immune response when fungus pathogen infestation occur (Islam 2006). These genes that play an important role in defense response have been used in production of transgenic plants that were fungal resistant (Grover and Gowthaman 2003). Glucanases, chitinases and other antifungal genes have been used for this purpose. In Table 1 described the genes name, delivery methods, source and host organism and gene transformation method used to develop transgenic plants.

Saprophytic fungi (that parasitizes other fungi as their food source) are potential natural sources to control the infectious plant pathogens. *Trichoderma harzianum* is one of these fungi that produces enzymes having the ability to

hydrolyze the cell wall of other fungi resulting in their destruction (Hendrix and Stewart 2002). *Trichoderma* spp. is one of the biocontrol agents successfully employed for the biological control of many economically essential pathogens (Steyaert et al. 2004). *Trichoderma* spp. show biocontrol activity and have ability to degrade chitins and glucans by chitinolytic and glucanolytic enzymatic activity. Glucanases are therefore one of the key groups of enzymes involved in mycoparasitism (Balasubramanian et al. 2012). Plant transformation plays an important role in improvement of agronomically significant traits. To develop transgenic plant selectable marker genes are used for analysis of successful transformation. Recently many selectable marker genes have been identified that are environmentally friendly and safe. (Wei et al. 2012; Razzaq et al. 2021b).

2. Conclusion

Fungal pathogens are one of the serious disastrous agents. Previously disease resistant varieties, application of appropriate fungicides, the use of biocontrol agents, plant-based extracts and induction of innate host resistance were developed to prevent fungal diseases. Resistance development against the fungal pathogens inspires scientists to explore modern technologies for the development of plants having broad spectrum resistance against plant pathogens. Transgenic technology has great potential against fungal pathogens.

ORCID

Mawra Nadeem <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8854-6064>

Fiza Shaukat <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6148-7631>

REFERENCES

- Ait-Lahsen H, Soler A, Rey M, de la Cruz J, Monte E and Llobell A, 2001. An antifungal exo- α -1,3-glucanase (AGN13.1) from the biocontrol fungus *Trichoderma harzianum*. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 67(12): 5833–5839. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.67.12.5833-5839.2001>
- Akiyama T, Pillai MA and Sentoku N, 2004. Cloning, characterization and expression of OsGLN2, a rice endo-1,3-beta-glucanase gene regulated developmentally in flowers and hormonally in germinating seeds. *Planta* 220: 129–139. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-004-1312-8>
- Alvarez A, Ibanez M and Rotger R, 1993. A simple method to measure β -galactosidase activity in bacteria by flow cytometry *Biotechniques* 15: 974–976.
- Anu K, Limiya J and Bindu RC, 2019. An insight into Hevea –Phytophthora interaction: The story of Hevea defense and Phytophthora counter defense mediated through molecular signalling. *Current Plant Biology* 17: 33–41. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpb.2018.11.009>
- Anuradha TS, Divya K, Jami SK and Kirti PB, 2008. Transgenic tobacco and peanut plants expressing a mustard defensin show resistance to fungal pathogens. *Plant Cell Reports* 27: 1777–1786. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00299-008-0596-8>
- Azevedo RA, Carvalho RF, Cia MC and Gratão PL, 2011. Sugarcane under pressure: an overview of biochemical and physiological studies of abiotic stress. *Tropical Plant Biology* 4: 42–51. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12042-011-9067-4>
- Baker R and Paulitz TC, 1996. Theoretical basis for microbial interactions leading to biological control of soilborne plant pathogens. In: *Principles and Practice of Managing Soilborne Plant Pathogens*. Hall R (ed), American Phytopathological Society, St. Paul, MN, USA, pp: 50–79.
- Balasubramanian V, Vashisht D, Cletus J and Sakthivel N, 2012. Plant β -1, 3-glucanases: their biological functions and transgenic expression against phytopathogenic fungi. *Biotechnology Letters* 34: 1983–1990.
- Campo S, Carrascal M, Coca M, Abian J and Segundo BS, 2004. The defense response of germinating maize embryos against fungal infection: a proteomics approach. *Proteomics* 4: 383–396.
- Carstens M, Vivier MA and Pretorius IS, 2003. The *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* chitinase, encoded by the CTS1-2 gene, confers antifungal activity against *Botrytis cinerea* to transgenic tobacco. *Transgenic Research* 12: 497–508. <https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1024220023057>
- Chai B, Maqbool SB, Hajela RK, Green D, Vargas JM, Warkentin D, Sabzikar R and Sticklen MB. 2002. Cloning of a chitinase-like cDNA (hs2), its transfer to creeping bentgrass (*Agrostis palustris* Huds.) and development of brown patch (*Rhizoctonia solani*) disease resistant transgenic lines. *Plant Science* 163: 183–193. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9452\(02\)00069-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9452(02)00069-9)
- Chang M, Culley D, Choi JJ and Hadwiger LA, 2002. Agrobacterium-mediated co-transformation of a pea β -1,3-glucanase and chitinase genes in potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L. c.v. Russet Burbank) using a single selectable marker. *Plant Science* 163: 83–89. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9452\(02\)00063-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9452(02)00063-8)
- Chen SC, Liu AR and Zou ZR, 2006. Overexpression of glucanase gene and defensin gene in transgenic tomato enhances resistance to *Ralstonia solanacearum*. *Russian Journal of Plant Physiology* 53: 671–677. <https://doi.org/10.1134/S1021443706050116>

- Cheong YH, Kim CY, Chun HJ, Moon BC, Park HC, Kim JK, Lee SY and Cho MJ, 2000. Molecular cloning of a soybean class III β -1,3-glucanase gene that is regulated both developmentally and in response to pathogen infection. *Plant Science* 154: 71-81. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9452\(00\)00187-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9452(00)00187-4)
- Chye M, Zhao K, He Z, Ramalingam S and Fung K, 2005. An agglutinating chitinase with two chitin-binding domains confers fungal protection in transgenic potato. *Planta* 220: 717-730. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-004-1391-6>
- Coca M, Bortolotti C, Rufat M, Pen˜as G, Eritja R, Tharreau D, Martinez del Pozo A, Messeguer J and Segundo SB, 2004. Transgenic rice plants expressing the antifungal AFP protein from *Aspergillus giganteus* show enhanced resistance to the rice blast fungus *Magnaporthe grisea*. *Plant Molecular Biology* 54(2): 245–259. <https://doi.org/10.1023/B:PLAN.0000028791.34706.80>
- Coca M, Pen˜as G, Go´mez J, Campo S, Bortolotti C, Messeguer J and San Segundo B, 2006. Enhanced resistance to the rice blast fungus *Magnaporthe grisea* conferred by expression of a cecropin A gene in transgenic rice. *Planta* 223: 392-406. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-005-0069-z>
- Cohen-Kupiec R, Broglie KE, Friesem D, Broglie RM, Chet I, 1999. Molecular characterization of a novel β -1, 3-exoglucanase related to mycoparasitism of *Trichoderma harzianum*. *Gene* 226: 147-154. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1119\(98\)00583-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1119(98)00583-6)
- Çömlekçiođlu U, Özköse E, Akyol I, Yazdic FC and Ekinci MS, 2011. Expression of β -(1, 3-1, 4)-glucanase gene of *Orpinomyces* sp. GMLF18 in *Escherichia coli* EC1000 and *Lactococcus lactis* subsp. cremoris MG1363. *Turkish Journal of Biology* 35(4): 405-414.
- Datta K, Tu J, Oliva N, Ona I, Velazhahan R, Mew TW, Muthukrishnan S and Datta SK, 2001. Enhanced resistance to sheath blight by constitutive expression of infection- related rice chitinase in transgenic *elite indica* rice cultivars. *Plant Science* 160: 405–414. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9452\(00\)00413-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9452(00)00413-1)
- De Jonge R, Bolton MD and Thomma BP, 2011. How filamentous pathogens co-opt plants: the ins and outs of fungal effectors. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* 14(4): 400–406. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2011.03.005>
- De la Cruz J, Pintor-Toro JA, Benitez T and Llobell A, 1995. Purification and characterization of an endo- β -1,6-glucanase from *Trichoderma harzianum* that is related to its mycoparasitism. *Journal of Bacteriology* 177: 1864-1871.
- Donzelli BGG, Lorito M, Scala F and Harman GE, 2001. Cloning, sequence and structure of a gene encoding an antifungal glucan 1, 3- β -glucosidase from *Trichoderma atroviride* (*T. harzianum*). *Gene* 277: 199-208.
- El-Saeid MH, 2011. Antifungal activity of natural piperitone as fungicide on root rot fungi. *American-Eurasian Journal of Agriculture and Environmental Science* 11: 149-153.
- Faize M, Faize L, Koike N, Ishizaka M, Ishii H. 2004. Acibenzolar-S-methyl-induced resistance to Japanese pear scab is associated with potentiation of multiple defense responses. *Phytopathology* 94: 604-612. <https://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO.2004.94.6.604>
- Farooq MA, Zhang X, Zafar MM, Ma W and Zhao J, 2021. Roles of reactive oxygen species and mitochondria in seed germination. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 12: 781734. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2021.781734>
- Girgi M, Breese WA, Lorz H and Oldach KH, 2006. Rust and downy mildew resistance in pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*) mediated by heterologous expression of the *afp* gene from *Aspergillus giganteus*. *Transgenic Research* 15: 313–324. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11248-006-0001-8>
- Grover A and Gowthaman R, 2003. Strategies for development of fungus-resistant transgenic plants. *Current Science* 84: 330-340.
- Harman GE, Howell CR, Viterbo A, Chet I and Lorito M. 2004. *Trichoderma* species--opportunistic, avirulent plant symbionts. *Nature Reviews. Microbiology* 2(1): 43–56. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro797>
- Hassan F, Meens J, Jacobsen H and Kiesecker H, 2009. A family I9 chitinase (Chit30) from *Streptomyces olivaceo-oviridis* ATCC 11238 expressed in transgenic pea affects the development of *T. harzianum* in vitro. *Journal of Biotechnology* 143: 302–330.
- He X, Miyasaka SC, Fitch MM, Moore PH and Zhu YJ, 2008. Agrobacterium tumefaciens-mediated transformation of taro (*Colocasia esculenta* (L.) Schott) with a rice chitinase gene for improved tolerance to a fungal pathogen *Sclerotium rolfsii*. *Plant Cell Reports* 27: 903-909. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00299-008-0519-8>
- Hématy K, Cherk C and Somerville S, 2009. Host–pathogen warfare at the plant cell wall. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* 12: 406-413.
- Hendrix B and Stewart JM, 2002. Chitosanase may enhance antifungal defence response. *AAES Research Series* 507: 2154-217.
- Islam A, 2006. Fungus resistant transgenic plants: strategies, progress and lessons learnt. *Plant Tissue Culture and Biotechnology* 16: 117-138. <https://doi.org/10.3329/ptcb.v16i2.1113>
- Jach G, Görnhardt B, Mundy J, Logemann J, Pinsdorf E, Leah R, Schell J and Maas C, 1995. Enhanced quantitative resistance against fungal disease by combinatorial expression of different barley antifungal proteins in transgenic tobacco. *The Plant Journal* 8(1): 97–109. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-313x.1995.08010097.x>
- Kauffmann S, Legrand M, Geoffroy P and Fritig B, 1987. Biological function of pathogenesis-related' proteins: four PR proteins of tobacco have 1,3- β -glucanase activity. *The EMBO Journal* 6(11): 3209–3212. <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1460-2075.1987.tb02637.x>
- Keen N and Yoshikawa M, 1983. β -1, 3-Endoglucanase from soybean releases elicitor-active carbohydrates from fungus cell walls. *Plant Physiology* 71: 460-465.

- Keen NT, Bent A and Staskawicz B, 1993. Plant disease resistance genes: interactions with pathogens and their improved utilization to control plant diseases. In: *Biotechnology in Plant Disease Control*, Chit I (ed). Wiley-Liss Inc. pp: 65-88.
- Kim JK, Jang IC, Wu R, Zuo WN, Boston RS, Lee YH, Ahn IP and Nahm BH, 2003. Co-expression of a modified maize ribosome-inactivating protein and a rice basic chitinase gene in transgenic rice plants confers enhanced resistance to sheath blight. *Transgenic Research* 12: 475-484. <https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1024276127001>
- Kishimoto K, Nishizawa Y, Tabei Y, Hibi T, Nakajima M and Akutsu K, 2002. Detailed analysis of rice chitinase gene expression in transgenic cucumber plants showing different levels of disease resistance to gray mold (*Botrytis cinerea*). *Plant Science* 162: 655-662. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9452\(01\)00602-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9452(01)00602-1)
- Kubicek CP, Mach RL, Peterbauer CK and Lorito M, 2001. *Trichoderma*: from genes to biocontrol. *Journal of Plant Pathology* 83(2): 11-23.
- Kumar KK, Poovannan K, Nandakumar R, Thamilarasi K, Geetha C, Jayashree N, Kokiladevi E, Raja JA, Samiyappan R, Sudhakar D and Balasubramanian P, 2003. A high throughput functional expression assay system for a defense gene conferring transgenic resistance on rice against the sheath blight pathogen, *Rhizoctonia solani*. *Plant Science* 165: 969-976. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9452\(03\)00271-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9452(03)00271-1)
- Latha MA, Dasvantha Reddy V, Madavi Latha A and Venkateswara Rao K, 2005. Production of transgenic plants resistant to leaf blast disease in finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* (L.) Gaertn.). *Plant Science* 169: 657-667. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2005.05.009>
- Latha MA, Rao KV, Reddy TP and Reddy VD, 2006. Development of transgenic pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* (L.) R. Br.) plants resistant to downy mildew. *Plant Cell Reports* 25: 927-935. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00299-006-0141-6>
- Li HY, Zhu YM, Chen Q, Conner RL, Ding XD and Zhang BB, 2004. Production of transgenic soybean plants with two anti-fungal protein genes via *Agrobacterium* and particle bombardment. *Biologia Plant* 48: 367-374.
- Liu Y, Ma X, Long Y, Yao S, Wei C, Han X, Gan B, Yan J and Xie B. 2022. Effects of β -1, 6-Glucan synthase gene (*FfGS6*) overexpression on stress response and fruit body development in *Flammulina filiformis*. *Genes* 13(10): 1753. <https://doi.org/10.3390/genes13101753>
- Lucas GB, Campbell CL and Lucas LT, 1992. *Introduction to plant diseases: identification and management*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Mackintosh CA, Garvin DF, Radmer LE, Heinen SJ and Muehlbauer GJ, 2006. A model wheat cultivar for transformation to improve resistance to fusarium head blight. *Plant Cell Reports* 25: 313-319. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00299-005-0059-4>
- Mei L, Zong-xiu S, Jei Z, Tong X, Gary EH and Matteo L, 2004. Enhancing rice resistance to fungal pathogens by transformation with cell degrading enzyme genes from *Trichoderma atroviride*. *Journal of Zhejiang University Science* 5: 133-136.
- Melander M, Kamnert I, Hoppstadius I, Liljeroth E and Bryngelsson T, 2006. Stability of transgene integration and expression in subsequent generations of doubled haploid oilseed rape transformed with chitinase and β -1,3-glucanase genes in a double-gene construct. *Plant Cell Reports* 25: 942-952. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00299-006-0153-2>
- Ming X, Wang L, An C, Yuan H and Chen Z, 2000. Resistance to rice blast (*Pyricularia oryzae*) caused by the expression of trichosanthin gene in transgenic rice plants transferred through agrobacterium method. *Chinese Science Bulletin* 45(19): 1774-1778.
- Mitani N, Kobayashi S, Nishizawa Y, Kuniga T and Matsumoto R, 2006. Transformation of trifoliolate orange with rice chitinase gene and the use of the transformed plant as a rootstock. *Scientia Horticulturae* 108: 439-441. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2006.02.006>
- Mondal KK, Bhattacharya RC, Koundal KR and Chatterjee SC, 2007. Transgenic Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*) expressing tomato glucanase leads to arrested growth of *Alternaria brassicae*. *Plant Cell Reports* 26: 247-252. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00299-006-0241-3>
- Moravčíková J, Matušíková I, Libantova J and Bauer M, 2004. Expression of a cucumber class III chitinase and Nicotiana plumbaginifolia class I glucanase genes in transgenic potato plants. *Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture* 79(2): 161-168. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11240-004-0656-x>
- Nishizawa Y, Saruta M, Nakazono K, Nishio Z, Soma M, Yoshida T and Hibi T, 2003. Characterization of transgenic rice plants over-expressing the stress-inducible β -glucanase gene Gns1. *Plant Molecular Biology* 51(1): 143-152. <https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1020714426540>
- Osborn AE, 2001. Plant mechanisms that give defence against soilborne diseases. *Australasian Plant Pathology* 30(2): 99-102.
- Osusky M, Osuska L, Hancock RE, Kay WW and Misra S, 2004. Transgenic potatoes expressing a novel cationic peptide are resistant to late blight and pink rot. *Transgenic Research* 13(2): 181-190. <https://doi.org/10.1023/b:trag.0000026076.72779.60>
- Pan SQ, Ye XS and Kuć J, 1989. Direct detection of β -1, 3-glucanase isozymes on polyacrylamide electrophoresis and isoelectrofocusing gels. *Analytical Biochemistry* 182(1): 136-140.
- Papavizas GC, 1985. *Trichoderma* and *Gliocladium*: biology, ecology, and potential for biocontrol. *Annual Review of Phytopathology* 23(1): 23-54.
- Peumans WJ, Barre A, Derycke V, Rougé P, Zhang W, May GD and Van Damme EJ, 2000. Purification, characterization and structural analysis of an abundant β -1, 3-glucanase from banana fruit. *European Journal of Biochemistry* 267(4): 1188-1195. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1432-1327.2000.01117.x>

- Radhakrishnan S, Mathew SA, Saleena A and Thulaseedharan A, 2021. New insights into the novel and functional promoter sequences of β -1, 3-glucanase gene from *Hevea brasiliensis*. *Journal of Plant Protection Research* 61: 28-40. <https://doi.org/10.24425/jppr.2021.136266>
- Raham SK, Rinaldi S, Ikuo N, Masahiro M, 2008. Production of transgenic potato exhibiting enhanced resistance to fungal infection and herbicide applications. *Plant Biotechnology Reproduction* 2: 13–20. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11816-008-0043-x>
- Razzaq A, Ali A, Zafar MM, Nawaz A, Xiaoying D, Pengtao L and Youlu Y, 2021. Pyramiding of cry toxins and methanol producing genes to increase insect resistance in cotton. *GM Crops and Foods* 12(1): 382-395. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21645698.2021.1944013>
- Razzaq A, Zafar MM, Ali A, Hafeez A, Batool W, Shi Y and Yuan Y, 2021. Cotton germplasm improvement and progress in Pakistan. *Journal of Cotton Research* 4(1): 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s42397-020-00077-x>
- Ren M, Zafar MM, Mo H, Yang Z and Li F, 2019. Fighting against fall armyworm by using multiple genes pyramiding and silencing (MGPS) technology. *Science China Life Science* 62(12): 1703-1706. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11427-019-1586-7>
- Rohini VK and Rao KS, 2001. Transformation of peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) with tobacco chitinase gene: variable response of transformants to leaf spot disease. *Plant Science* 160(5): 889-898. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9452\(00\)00462-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9452(00)00462-3)
- Rommens CM and Kishore GM, 2000. Exploiting the full potential of disease-resistance genes for agricultural use. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology* 11(2): 120-125. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0958-1669\(00\)00083-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0958-1669(00)00083-5)
- Sanz L, Montero M, Grondona I, Vizcaino JA, Llobell A, Hermosa R and Monte E, 2004. Cell wall-degrading isoenzyme profiles of *Trichoderma* biocontrol strains show correlation with rDNA taxonomic species. *Current Genetics* 46(5): 277-286. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00294-004-0532-6>
- Sivan A and Chet I, 1989. Degradation of fungal cell walls by lytic enzymes of *Trichoderma harzianum*. *Microbiology* 135(3): 675-682.
- Sridevi G, Parameswari C, Sabapathi N, Raghupathy V and Veluthambi K, 2008. Combined expression of chitinase and β -1, 3-glucanase genes in indica rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) enhances resistance against *Rhizoctonia solani*. *Plant Science* 175(3): 283-290. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2008.04.011>
- Steyaert JM, Stewart A, Jaspers MV, Carpenter M and Ridgway HJ, 2004. Co-expression of two genes, a chitinase (chit42) and proteinase (prb1): implicated in mycoparasitism by *Trichoderma hamatum*. *Mycologia* 96(6): 1245-1252.
- Sundar AR, Velazhahan R, Viswanathan R, Padmanaban P and Vidhyasekaran P, 2001. Induction of systemic resistance to *Colletotrichum falcatum* in sugarcane by a synthetic signal molecule, acibenzolar-S-Methyl (CGA-245704). *Phytoparasitica* 29(3): 231-242.
- Takakura Y, Ito T, Saito H, Inoue T, Komari T and Kuwata S, 2000. Flower-predominant expression of a gene encoding a novel class I chitinase in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Plant Molecular Biology* 42(6): 883-897. <https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1006401816145>
- Takatsu Y, Nishizawa Y, Hibi T and Akutsu K, 1999. Transgenic chrysanthemum (*Dendranthema grandiflorum* (Ramat.) Kitamura) expressing a rice chitinase gene shows enhanced resistance to gray mold (*Botrytis cinerea*). *Scientia Horticulturae* 82(1-2): 113-123.
- Terakawa T, Takaya N, Horiuchi H, Koike M and Takagi M, 1997. A fungal chitinase gene from *Rhizopus oligosporus* confers antifungal activity to transgenic tobacco. *Plant Cell Reports* 16(7): 439-443. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01092762>
- Tobias DJ, Manoharan M, Pritsch C and Dahleen LS, 2007. Co-bombardment, integration and expression of rice chitinase and thaumatin-like protein genes in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* cv. Conlon). *Plant Cell Reports* 26(5): 631-639. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00299-006-0263-x>
- Tohidfar M, Mohammadi M and Ghareyazie B, 2005. Agrobacterium-mediated transformation of cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*) using a heterologous bean chitinase gene. *Plant cell, Tissue and Organ Culture* 83(1): 83-96. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11240-004-6155-2>
- Van der Biezen EA, 2001. Quest for antimicrobial genes to engineer disease-resistant crops. *Trends in Plant Science* 6(3): 89-91.
- Vellicce GR, Ricci JCD, Hernández L and Castagnaro AP, 2006. Enhanced resistance to *Botrytis cinerea* mediated by the transgenic expression of the chitinase gene ch5B in strawberry. *Transgenic Research* 15(1): 57-68. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11248-005-2543-6>
- Vinale F, Sivasithamparam K, Ghisalberti EL, Marra R, Woo SL and Lorito M, 2008. *Trichoderma*-plant-pathogen interactions. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 40(1): 1-10.
- Wally O, Jayaraj J and Punja Z, 2009. Comparative resistance to foliar fungal pathogens in transgenic carrot plants expressing genes encoding for chitinase, β -1, 3-glucanase and peroxidase. *European Journal of Plant Pathology* 123(3): 331-342.
- Wang Y, Kausch AP, Chandlee JM, Luo H, Ruemmele BA, Browning M and Goldsmith MR, 2003. Co-transfer and expression of chitinase, glucanase, and bar genes in creeping bentgrass for conferring fungal disease resistance. *Plant Science* 165(3): 497-506. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9452\(03\)00198-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9452(03)00198-5)
- Wei Z, Wang X and Xing S, 2012. Current progress of biosafe selectable markers in plant transformation. *Journal of Plant Breeding and Crop Science* 4: 1-8. <https://doi.org/10.5897/JPCSI1.088>
- Wróbel-Kwiatkowska M, Lorenc-Kukula K, Starzycki M, Oszmiański J, Kepczyńska E and Szopa J, 2004. Expression of β -1, 3-glucanase in flax causes increased resistance to fungi. *Physiological and Molecular Plant Pathology* 65(5): 245-256.

- Xiaotian M, Lijiang W, Chengcai AN, Huayi Y and Zhangliang C, 2000. Resistance to rice blast (*Pyricularia oryzae*) caused by the expression of *trichosanthin* gene in transgenic rice plants transferred through *Agrobacterium* method. *Chinese Science Bulletin* 45: 1774–1778.
- Yamaguchi T, Nakayama K, Hayashi T, Tanaka Y and Koike S, 2002. Molecular cloning and characterization of a novel β -1, 3-glucanase gene from rice. *Bioscience, Biotechnology, and Biochemistry* 66(6): 1403-1406. <https://doi.org/10.1271/bbb.66.1403>
- Yamamoto T, Iketani H, Ieki H, Nishizawa Y, Notsuka K, Hibi T and Matsuta N, 2000. Transgenic grapevine plants expressing a rice chitinase with enhanced resistance to fungal pathogens. *Plant Cell Reports* 19(7): 639-646. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s002999900174>
- Yamanaka D, Takatsu K, Kimura M, Swamydas M, Ohnishi H, Umeyama T and Ohno N, 2020. Development of a novel β -1, 6-glucan-specific detection system using functionally-modified recombinant endo- β -1, 6-glucanase. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 295(16): 5362-5376. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.RA119.011851>
- Zafar MM, Mustafa G, Shoukat F, Idrees A, Ali A, Sharif F and Li F, 2022. Heterologous expression of *cry3Bb1* and *cry3* genes for enhanced resistance against insect pests in cotton. *Scientific Reports* 12(1): 1-11. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-13295-x>
- Zafar MM, Razzaq A, Farooq MA, Rehman A, Firdous H, Shakeel A and Ren M, 2020. Insect resistance management in *Bacillus thuringiensis* cotton by MGPS (multiple genes pyramiding and silencing). *Journal of Cotton Research* 3(1): 1-13. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s42397-020-00074-0>
- Zafar MM, Rehman A, Razzaq A, Parvaiz A, Mustafa G, Sharif F and Ren M, 2022. Genome-wide characterization and expression analysis of *Erf* gene family in cotton. *BMC Plant Biology* 22(1): 1-18. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-022-03521-z>
- Zhengyi W, Xingzhi W and Shaochen X, 2012. Current progress of biosafe selectable markers in plant transformation. *Journal of Plant Breeding and Crop Science* 4(1): 1-8.
- Zhu H, Xu X, Xiao G, Yuan L and Li B, 2007. Enhancing disease resistances of super hybrid rice with four antifungal genes. *Science in China Series C: Life Sciences* 50(1): 31-39. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11427-007-0001-9>